

Chapter 5

A Closed-Economy One-Period Macroeconomic Model

Plan

Build a MACRO MODEL

Specs:

- Closed Economy (i.e., no foreign trade)

Agents:

- Representative Consumer (Chapter 4)
- Representative Firm (Chapter 4)
- Government (Chapter 5)

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail. Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 2

Government

- Purchase consumption goods G .
- Finance purchase using Taxes T .

Captures the idea that government spending uses up resources from the private sector (assumption).

For the moment, forget public goods.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail. Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 3

Government Constraint

- **G is exogenous**
- Simply, someone stands up (outside the model) and decides on how much **G** will be. For example, see the state of the Union address. We do not model **G**. We do not ask questions such as: which variable is influential to **G**? which variable, if changed, will subsequently change **G**? Poly-Sci ask these questions.
- In Economics, **G** is given to us, outside the model.
- **Government Constraint**

$$G = T$$

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 4

Fiscal Policy


Refers to gov' choices over:

- Expenditures (G)
- Taxes (T) ← here must equal G “WHY?”
Exlpain what will happen if $T > G$ or $T < G$
- Transfers ← no production, not part of GDP
- Borrowing ← here gov' can't borrow “WHY?”

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 5

Figure 5-1 A Model Takes Exogenous Variables and Determines Endogenous Variables



Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 6

MODEL

- **Behavior:** Consumer, Firm and Government.
- **Consistency:** given market prices, demand equals supply in each market in the economy. Market Clearing.

The actions of the agents must be consistent.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 7

COMPETITIVE EQUILIBRIUM

COMPETITIVE

EQUILIBRIUM

↑
Agents are price-takers.

↑
**Economy is in equilibrium,
when the actions are consistent.
Markets clear.**

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 8

The CE for this economy

A CE is:

- a set of endogenous quantities: C , N^s , N^d , T and Y
- and endogenous real wage w , such that
- Given the exogenous variables G , z and k ,

The following is satisfied

- The consumer max U s/c budget
- The firm max profits s/c technology
- The labor market clears $N^s = N^d$
- The government budget is satisfied.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 9

In General

A CE is:

- A set of endogenous quantities ...
- and endogenous prices ... such that
- Given a set of exogenous variables ...

The following is satisfied

- Agents follow an optimizing behavior
- All markets clear and constraints are satisfied.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 10

Show why the Income-Expenditure identity holds in equilibrium?

Start with the consumer's budget

$$C = wN^s + \pi - T$$

In Equilibrium, $\pi = Y - wN^d$ (from the firm)

Also, $G = T$ (from the gov)

Therefore $C = wN^s + Y - wN^d - G$

Now, add Labor market clearing $N^s = N^d$

Which gives $Y = C + G$

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 11

Figure 5-2 The Production Function

$$Y = z F(K, N)$$

Keep Track of changes in the labels of the Y-Axis and the X-Axis

Y as function of Leisure

$$Y = z F(K, h-l)$$


PPF

Since $C = Y - G$ then

$$C = z F(K, h-l) - G$$

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 12



Efficiency Criterion

Pareto Optimality:

A Competitive Equilibrium (CE) is Pareto Optimal (PO) if there is no way to rearrange production or to reallocate goods so that someone is made better off without making someone else worse off.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 16

Is it Pareto Optimal?

- Is the CE allocation Pareto Optimal?
No need to consider a rearrangement, there is only ONE representative consumer.

So here, focus solely on how production is arranged to make the rep consumer as well off as possible.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 17

Construct Pareto Optimal allocation

Create the Social Planner:

- Does not deal with markets.
- Benevolent, chooses quantities to make the consumer as well off as possible.
- Act as a fair Judge.
- The Pareto Optimum allocation is the point that a social planner will choose.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 18

Social Planner Problem

Choose C and l , given the technology for converting l into C , to make the representative consumer as well off as possible.

Choose the point on PPF that will lead to the highest Utility for the rep consumer.


Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 19

Figure 5-4 Pareto Optimality

Note that there is no budget constraint

PO allocation is the same as the CE allocation



Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 20

Key Result of this Chapter

In this economy,

The Competitive Equilibrium (CE) allocation is the Pareto Optimal (PO) allocation

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 21

Two Fundamental Principles

- The First Welfare Theorem:
Under certain conditions, a CE is PO.
- The Second Welfare Theorem:
Under certain conditions, a PO is a CE.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 22

The First Welfare Theorem and Philosophy

Adam Smith's Invisible Hand at work.

Individuals motivated by greed and firms motivated by profit maximization, could achieve some kind of social utopia.

Remember that the social planner is very knowledgeable regarding the state of the economy.

Pareto is a narrow concept of social optimality. A trade-off between equity as well as efficiency might be desirable.

What do you think?

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 23

Why would a CE fail to be PO?


Whenever the First Welfare Theorem fails

- Externalities (e.g., pollution).
- Distorting Taxes (not lump-sum)
 - e.g., wage income tax
 - $MRS_{C,l} = w(1-t) < MPN = MRT_{C,l}$
- Market Structure is not competitive (e.g., monopoly power).

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 24

Figure 5-5 Using the Second Welfare Theorem to Determine a Competitive Equilibrium



Notes

- You can compute the prices (i.e., w) from the PO allocation.
- We work with PO, because it is easier. Once we have the PO, we can go back to the CE using the Second Welfare Theorem.
- The model here is simple. One period, rep consumer, rep firm, no externalities, no distortion taxes and competitive.

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 26

THE GAME


Track the effect of a change in the exogenous variables on the endogenous variables.
 Recall Slide # 6.

What will happen if there is a change in

- Government Spending
- Total Factor Productivity

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 27


THE ONLY GAME



Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail. Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 28

Figure 5-6 Equilibrium Effects of an Increase in Government Spending



Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail. Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 29

Effects of an increase in G

$C \downarrow$ $l \downarrow$ $N \uparrow$ $Y \uparrow$

What happens to the real wage w ? (Getting the CE price from PO allocation)

At point B, PPF is less steep than at point A

As N increases, w decreases along the MPN.

Therefore, $w \downarrow$

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail. Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 30

Is the Model Ok?

Does the model produce predictions/results similar to the empirical facts?


PROBLEM: C is COUNTERCYCLICAL

G is not a good candidate to cause business cycles

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 31

Figure 5-7 GDP, Consumption, and Government Expenditures, 1929-1997



Slide 32

GAME II

Do the same for Total Factor Productivity.

- Consequences?
- Model predictions?
- Theory confronts data?

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc.

Slide 33

Figure 5-8 Increase in Total Factor Productivity





Figure 5-9 Competitive Equilibrium Effects of an Increase in Total Factor Productivity




Income / Substitution Effects of a Change in z

The twist in the production function → Change in MPL
 → change in relative costs, leisure now is expensive relative to consumption. One extra hour of leisure is more expensive. If you are very productive, you watch less TV. Therefore,
Substitution Effect → more Labor Supply, i.e., $l \downarrow$

The // change in the Production function → no change in MPL → only effect is the **income effect** → more income + C and l are normal goods → more of each good, i.e., $C \uparrow$ and $l \uparrow$

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 36

Figure 5-10 Income and Substitution Effects of an Increase in Total Factor Productivity



Model vs. Data

To match BC data, substitution effect must dominate, i.e., to produce procyclical employment.

REAL BUSINESS CYCLE THEORY

Copyright © 2002 by O. Mikhail, Graphs are © by Pearson Education, Inc. Slide 38

Figure 5-11 Deviations from Trend in the Solow Residual




Figure 5-12 Employment and GDP




Figure 5-13 Consumption and GDP




Figure 5-14 Deviations from Trend in the Real Wage

